

(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u> Vol. 7, Issue 2, February 2018

# Review On- Netspam: A Network-Based Spam Detection Framework For Reviews In Online Social Media

Komal Limbhore<sup>1</sup>, Priyanka Kale<sup>1</sup>, Pratiksha Shah<sup>1</sup>, Neha Shinde<sup>1</sup>, Prof. V.S.Rajput<sup>2</sup>

Department of IT, SITS, Narhe Pune, Savitribai Phule Pune University Pune, India<sup>1</sup>

Department of IT, SITS, Narhe Pune, Savitribai Phule Pune University Pune, India<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT:** Todays, a major part of everyone trusts on content in social media like opinions and feedbacks of a topic or a product. The liability that anyone can take off a survey give a brilliant chance to spammers to compose spam surveys about products and services for various interests. Recognizing these spammers and the spam content is a wildly debated issue of research and in spite of the fact that an impressive number of studies have been done as of late toward this end, yet so far the procedures set forth still scarcely distinguish spam reviews, and none of them demonstrate the significance of each extracted feature type. In this investigation, we propose a novel structure, named NetSpam, which uses spam highlights for demonstrating review datasets as heterogeneous information networks to design spam detection method into a classification issue in such networks. Utilizing the significance of spam features help us to acquire better outcomes regarding different metrics on review datasets. The outcomes demonstrate that NetSpam results the existing methods and among four categories of features; including review-behavioral, user-behavioral, review-linguistic, user-linguistic, the first type of features performs better than the other categories. The contribution work is when user search query it will display all top-k products as well as recommendation of the product.

**KEYWORDS:** Social Media, Social Network, Spammer, Spam Review, Fake Review, Heterogeneous Information Networks.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Online Social Media portals play an influential role in information propagation which is considered as an important source for producers in their advertising campaigns as well as for customers in selecting products and services. In the past years, people rely a lot on the written reviews in their decision-making processes, and positive/negative reviews encouraging/discouraging them in their selection of products and services. In addition, written reviews also help service providers to enhance the quality of their products and services. These reviews thus have become an important factor in success of a business while positive reviews can bring benefits for a company, negative reviews can potentially impact credibility and cause economic losses. The fact that anyone with any identity can leave comments as review, provides a tempting opportunity for spammers to write fake reviews designed to mislead users' opinion. These misleading reviews are then multiplied by the sharing function of social media and propagation over the web.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal) Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

# Vol. 7, Issue 2, February 2018

### II. LITERATURE SURVEY

Sr.	Author, Title and Journal	Advantages	Disadvantage	<b>Refer Points</b>
No.	Name			
1	Ch. Xu and J. Zhang. Combating product review spam campaigns via multiple heterogeneous pairwise features. In SIAM International Conference on Data Mining, 2014.	<ol> <li>Pairwise features         <ul> <li>Can be more robust model for correlating colluders.</li> <li>To manipulate perceived reputations of the targets for their best interests.</li> <li>To rank all the reviewers in the website globally so that top-ranked ones are more likely to be colluders.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	1. Difficult problem to automate.	The pairwise features are first explicitly utilized to detect group colluders in online product review spam campaigns, which can reveal collusions in spam campaigns from a more fine-grained perspective. A novel detecting framework named FraudInformer is proposed to cooperate with the pairwise features which are intuitive and unsupervised.
2	G. Fei, A. Mukherjee, B. Liu, M. Hsu, M. Castellanos, and R. Ghosh. Exploiting burstiness in reviews for review spammer detection. In ICWSM, 2013.	<ol> <li>High accuracy.</li> <li>The proposed method is effective.</li> <li>To detect review spammers in review bursts.</li> <li>To detect spammers automatically.</li> </ol>	1. a generic framework is not used for detect spammers	To build a network of reviewers appearing in different bursts and model reviewers and their co- occurrence in bursts as a Markov Random Field (MRF), and employ the Loopy Belief Propagation (LBP) method to infer whether a reviewer is a spammer or not in the graph. A novel evaluation method to evaluate the detected spammers automatically using supervised classification of their reviews.
3	A. j. Minnich, N. Chavoshi, A. Mueen, S. Luan, and M. Faloutsos. Trueview: Harnessing the power of multiple review sites. In ACM WWW, 2015.	<ol> <li>Develop novel features capable of identifying cross-site discrepancies effectively.</li> <li>A hotel identity- matching method with 93% accuracy.</li> <li>Enable the site owner to detect misbehaving hotels.</li> </ol>	1. Difficult problem to automate.	In this paper, the challenges are: The detection of fraudulent behaviors, assessing the trustworthiness of review sites, since some may have policies that enable misbehavior, and creating effective review aggregation solutions. The TrueView score, in



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

### Vol. 7, Issue 2, February 2018

				(1 1:00 ( )
		4. Enable the end user to trusted reviews.		three different variants, as a proof of concept that the synthesis of multi-site reviews, can provide important and usable information to the end user.
4	B. Viswanath, M. Ahmad Bashir, M. Crovella, S. Guah, K. P. Gummadi, B. Krishnamurthy, and A. Mislove. Towards detecting anomalous user behavior in online social networks. In USENIX, 2014.	<ol> <li>Anomaly detection technique to effectively identify anomalous likes on Facebook ads.</li> <li>Achieves a detection rate of over 66% (covering more than 94% of misbehavior) with less than 0.3% false positives.</li> </ol>	1. The attacker is trying to drain the budget of some advertiser by clicking on ads of that advertiser.	Unsupervised anomaly detection techniques over user behavior to distinguish potentially bad behavior from normal behavior. To detect diverse attacker strategies fake, compromised, and colluding Facebook identities with no a priori labeling while maintaining low false positive rates.
5	H. Li, Z. Chen, B. Liu, X. Wei, and J. Shao. Spotting fake reviews via collective PU learning. In ICDM, 2014.	<ol> <li>Proposed models can markedly improve the F1 scores of strong baselines in both PU and non-PU learning settings.</li> <li>Models only use language independent features; they can be easily generalized to other languages.</li> <li>Detects a large number of potential fake reviews hidden in the unlabeled set.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Fake reviews hiding in the unlabel reviews that Dianping's algorithm did not capture.</li> <li>The ad-hoc labels of users and IPs used in MHCC may not be very accurate as they are computed from labels of neighboring reviews.</li> </ol>	In this paper, a collective classification algorithm called Multi-typed Heterogeneous Collective Classification (MHCC) and then extends it to Collective Positive and Unlabeled learning (CPU). The proposed models can markedly improve the F1 scores of strong baselines in both PU and non-PU learning settings.

#### III. EXISTING SYSTEM APPROACH

Online Social Media websites play a main role in information propagation which is considered as an important source for producers in their advertising operations as well as for customers in selecting products and services. People mostly believe on the written reviews in their decision-making processes, and positive/negative reviews encouraging/discouraging them in their selection of products and services. These reviews thus have become an important factor in success of a business while positive reviews can bring benefits for a company, negative reviews can potentially impact credibility and cause economic losses. The fact that anyone with any identity can leave comments as reviews provides a tempting opportunity for spammers to write fake reviews designed to mislead users' opinion. These misleading reviews are then multiplied by the sharing function of social media and propagation over the web. The



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

### Vol. 7, Issue 2, February 2018

reviews written to change users' perception of how good a product or a service are considered as spam, and are often written in exchange for money.

Disadvantages:

- 1. There is no information filtering concept in online social network.
- 2. People believe on the written reviews in their decision-making processes, and positive/negative reviews encouraging/discouraging them in their selection of products and services.
- 3. Anyone create registration and gives comments as reviews for spammers to write fake reviews designed to misguide users' opinion.
- 4. Less accuracy.
- 5. More time complexity.

#### IV. PROPOSED SYSTEM APPROACH

The proposed framework is to model a given review dataset as a Heterogeneous Information Network (HIN) and to map the problem of spam detection into a HIN classification problem. In particular, we model review dataset as a HIN in which reviews are connected through different node types (such as features and users). A weighting algorithm is then employed to calculate each feature's importance (or weight). These weights are utilized to calculate the final labels for reviews using both unsupervised and supervised approaches. Based on our observations, defining two views for features (review-user and behavioral-linguistic), the classified features as review behavioral have more weights and yield better performance on spotting spam reviews in both semi-supervised and unsupervised approaches. The feature weights can be added or removed for labeling and hence time complexity can be scaled for a specific level of accuracy. Categorizing features in four major categories (review-behavioral, user-behavioral, review-linguistic, user-linguistic), helps us to understand how much each category of features is contributed to spam detection.

- 1. NetSpam framework that is a novel network based approach which models review networks as heterogeneous information networks.
- 2. A new weighting method for spam features is proposed to determine the relative importance of each feature and shows how effective each of features are in identifying spams from normal reviews.
- 3. NetSpam improves the accuracy compared to the state-of-the art in terms of time complexity, which highly depends to the number of features used to identify a spam review.

The general concept of our proposed framework is to model a given review dataset as a Heterogeneous Information Network and to map the problem of spam detection into a HIN classification problem. In particular, we model review dataset as in which reviews are connected through different node types.

A weighting algorithm is then employed to calculate each feature's importance. These weights are utilized to calculate the final labels for reviews using both unsupervised and supervised approaches. Based on our observations defining two views for features.

Advantages:

- 1. To identify spam and spammers as well as different type of analysis on this topic.
- 2. Written reviews also help service providers to enhance the quality of their products and services.
- 3. To identify the spam user using positive and negative reviews in online social media.
- 4. To display only trusted reviews to the users.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: <u>www.ijirset.com</u>

### Vol. 7, Issue 2, February 2018

# V. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE



## Fig No 01 System Architecture

# VI. MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR THE LOW-LEVEL DESIGN (MODULE-WISE)

### Spam Features:

### User-Behavioral (UB) based features:

Burstiness: Spammers, usually write their spam reviews in short period of time for two reasons: first, because they want to impact readers and other users, and second because they are temporal users, they have to write as much as reviews they can in short time.

$$x_{BST}(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & (L_i - F_i) \notin (0, \tau) \\ 1 - \frac{L_t - F_t}{\tau} (L_i - F_i) \in (0, \tau) \end{cases}$$
(1)

Where,

 $L_i - F_i$  describes days between last and first review for  $\tau = 28$ . Users with calculated value greater than 0.5 take value 1 and others take 0.

### User-Linguistic (UL) based features:

Average Content Similarity, Maximum Content Similarity: Spammers, often write their reviews with same template and they prefer not to waste their time to write an original review. In result, they have similar reviews. Users have close calculated values take same values (in [0; 1]).

### Review-Behavioral (RB) based features:

• Early Time Frame: Spammers try to write their reviews a.s.a.p., in order to keep their review in the top reviews which other users visit them sooner.



(A High Impact Factor, Monthly, Peer Reviewed Journal)

Visit: www.ijirset.com

#### Vol. 7, Issue 2, February 2018

$$x_{ETF}(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & (L_i - F_i) \notin (0, \delta) \\ 1 - \frac{L_t - F_t}{\delta} (L_i - F_i) \in (0, \delta) \end{cases}$$
(2)

Where,

 $L_i - F_i$  denotes days specified written review and first written review for a specific business. We have also  $\delta = 7$ . Users with calculated value greater than 0.5 takes value 1 and others take 0.

Rate Deviation using threshold: Spammers, also tend to promote businesses they have contract with, so they
rate these businesses with high scores. In result, there is high diversity in their given scores to different
businesses which is the reason they have high variance and deviation.

$$x_{DEV}(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & Otherwise \\ 1 - \frac{r_{ij} - avg_{e \in E * j}r(e)}{4} > \beta_1 \end{cases}$$
(3)

Where,

 $\beta_1$  is some threshold determined by recursive minimal entropy partitioning. Reviews are close to each other based on their calculated value, take same values (in [0; 1)).

#### **Review-Linguistic (RL) based features:**

Number of first Person Pronouns, Ratio of Exclamation Sentences containing '!': First, studies show that spammers use second personal pronouns much more than first personal pronouns. In addition, spammers put '!' in their sentences as much as they can to increase impression on users and highlight their reviews among other ones. Reviews are close to each other based on their calculated value, take same values (in [0, 1]).

#### **VII. CONCLUSION**

This investigation presents a novel spam detection system in particular NetSpam in view of a metapath idea and another graph based strategy to name reviews depending on a rank-based naming methodology. The execution of the proposed structure is assessed by utilizing review datasets. Our perceptions demonstrate that ascertained weights by utilizing this metapath idea can be exceptionally powerful in recognizing spam surveys and prompts a superior execution. Furthermore, we found that even without a prepare set, NetSpam can figure the significance of each element and it yields better execution in the highlights' expansion procedure, and performs superior to anything past works, with just few highlights. In addition, in the wake of characterizing four fundamental classifications for highlights our perceptions demonstrate that the review behavioral classification performs superior to anything different classifications, regarding AP, AUC and in the ascertained weights. The outcomes likewise affirm that utilizing diverse supervisions, like the semi-administered strategy, have no detectable impact on deciding the vast majority of the weighted highlights, similarly as in various datasets. Contribution part in this project, for user when searches query he will get the top-k hotel lists as well as one recommendation hotel by using personalized recommendation algorithm.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ch. Xu and J. Zhang. Combating product review spam campaigns via multiple heterogeneous pairwise features. In SIAM International Conference on Data Mining, 2014.
- [2] G. Fei, A. Mukherjee, B. Liu, M. Hsu, M. Castellanos, and R. Ghosh. Exploiting burstiness in reviews for review spammer detection. In ICWSM, 2013.
- [3] A. j. Minnich, N. Chavoshi, A. Mueen, S. Luan, and M. Faloutsos. Trueview: Harnessing the power of multiple review sites. In ACM WWW, 2015.
- [4] B. Viswanath, M. Ahmad Bashir, M. Crovella, S. Guah, K. P. Gummadi, B. Krishnamurthy, and A. Mislove. Towards detecting anomalous user behavior in online social networks. In USENIX, 2014.
- [5] H. Li, Z. Chen, B. Liu, X. Wei, and J. Shao. Spotting fake reviews via collective PU learning. In ICDM, 2014.